



F.No.89-97/E-158036/2020 Appeal/15<sup>th</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> July, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/09/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Rural Teachers Training College, Shekpura, Badarballi, Chandi, Nalanda, Bihar dated 17/03/2020 is against the Order No. ERC/268.6.26/ERCAPP201646219 (ID No. 9989)/D.El.Ed./2019/59545 dated 27.02.2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "LOI u/c 7(13) issued on 19.04.2018. Show Cause Notice u/s 15(3)(b) issued on 17.07.2018 for compliance of LOI. Reply from the institution has not received so far and stipulated period has already been over."

**AND WHEREAS** Ms. Nirupma Sinha, Professor, Rural Teachers Training College, Shekpura, Badarballi, Chandi, Nalanda, Bihar presented online the case of the appellant institution on 29/07/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "Health of the secretary was not good so the process of affiliation could not be completed."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 28/06/2016 seeking recognition for D.El.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats (2 units). Appeal Committee further noted that a Letter of Intent (L.O.I.) dated 19/04/2018 was issued to appellant institution seeking compliance within 2 months. Appellant neither submitted required compliance nor did seek any extension of time to fulfil the requirements with a result that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 17/07/2018 seeking written representation within 21 days was issued. Appellant did not submit any reply to SCN as well.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that after issue of the impugned refusal order, Appellant institution is given an opportunity to prefer appeal under Section 18 of


the Act and the time limit for preferring appeal is 60 days from the date of issue of order appealed against. The present appeal is delayed by eight months and appellant states that due to ill health of Secretary, process of affiliation could not be completed.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is conducting B.Ed. and D.Ed. courses and as an existing institution should be well versed with the NCTE Rules. Appellant institution had failed to submit replies to L.O.I. and S.C.N. Even as on the date of online presentation of appeal, appellant could not submit any evidence of having complied with the conditions stipulated in the L.O.I. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 27/02/2019 issued by ERC.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and online submission made by appellant, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 27/02/2019 issued by ERC.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

  
(T. Pritam Singh)  
H.O.D.

1. The Secretary, Rural Teachers Training College, Shekpura, Badarbali, Chandi, Nalanda – 803110, Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.





F.No.89-98/E-158038/2020 Appeal/15<sup>th</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> July, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/09/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Adhiyamman College of Education, Chennathur, Kumudepalli, Dr. M.G.R. Nagar, Hosur, Krishnagiri, Tamil Nadu dated 13/03/2020 is against the Order No. SRC/NCTE/APSO4031/B.Ed./14237 dated 21.01.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the Management of the institution had not submitted required documents in response to Show Cause Notice (SCN) issued to the institution on 27.02.2019. Another opportunity was given to the institution by serving a Final Show Cause Notice (FSCN) dated 10.10.2019. The institution did not submit its reply/representation in response to Final Show Cause Notice (FSCN)."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. M. Chandra Shekhar, Principal, Adhiyamman College of Education, Chennathur, Kumudepalli, Dr. M.G.R. Nagar, Hosur, Krishnagiri, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/07/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "rectify".


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was conducting B.Ed. course with a intake 100 seats since 2005 and a conditional revised recognition order dated 30/04/2015 under NCTE Regulation, 2014 was issued. Appeal Committee noted that after issue of revised recognition, the appellant institution was required to appoint additional faculty and enhance its built up area apart from complying with other conditions such as submitting land documents, Building Plan, Building Completion Certificate, C.L.U. , N.E.C. and F.D.Rs etc. SRC issued 2 Show Cause Notice dated 27/02/2019 and 10/10/2019 to appellant institution which are treated as opportunities given to appellant institution to submit evidence of having rectified, the deficiencies, if any, and upgraded the faculty and infrastructure facilities. Appellant

failed to submit any justification on the date of online appeal hearing i.e. 29/07/2020 with regard to its non-compliance of the terms and conditions of revised recognition order issued under NCTE Regulation, 2014. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 21/01/2020.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 21/01/2020.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

  
(T. Pritam Singh)  
H.O.D.

1. The Appellant, Adhiyamman College of Education, Chennathur, 502/2, Kumudepalli, Dr. M.G.R. Nagar, Hosur, Krishnagiri – 635130, Tamil Nadu.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.





F.No.89-99/E-158037/2020 Appeal/15<sup>th</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> July, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/09/2020

### **ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shree Swaminarayan B.Ed. College, Shree Swaminarayan Gurukul, Gotad, Bhavnagar, Gujarat dated 06/03/2020 is against the Order No. WRC/APW02583/323299/313<sup>th</sup> /B.Ed./Guj./2020/208341 to 208347 dated 04.03.2020 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the Principal I/c (Shri Meghani Ashvinbhai Ramesh Bhai) is not having eight years experience of teaching in Secondary Teaching Education institution. The subject of Ph.D. is not mentioned. He does not have adequate percentage of marks in PG as per NCTE Norms. The faculty at Sl. No.2 (Ms. Sheetalben Jagdishchandra Soni), Sl.No. 3 Mr. Paraleeya Bharat Bhai Dhudabhai does not have adequate percentage of marks in PG as per NCTE, Norms. Staff for performing Arts, Fine Arts and Health and Physical Education are not appointed. In view of above, the Committee decided that the withdrawal order 02.11.2017 issued by WRO stands."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Mukeshbhai, Trusty, Shree Swaminarayan B.Ed. College, Shree Swaminarayan Gurukul, Gotad, Bhavnagar, Gujarat presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/07/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Recognition order dated 31.03.2007 was issued to the Appellant Institution. WRC vide its order dated 02.11.2017 withdrew the recognition of the Appellant Institution under Section 17 of the NCTE Act without following the due procedure. Appellant Institution filed a statutory appeal dated 28.03.2018 before the NCTE explaining the entire case against the withdrawal. As per the provision Appellant submitted all document along with relevant annexures before the NCTE. NCTE Appeal Committee vide its order dated 12.09.2018 remanded the application of the Appellant Institution to WRC for taking necessary action expeditiously. It is submitted that appeal committee allowed the appeal observing as under: "And whereas *appellant institution*



during the course of appeal proceedings has been asked to submit adequate evidence to prove that it has now obtained the required documents including approval of affiliating body for the appointment of required number of faculty for conducting the programme. The list containing the name of principal and faculty as approved by affiliating body submitted by the appellant prima-facie appears to be in accordance with the norms and standards for the course. Appellant institution is required to submit complete list along with all supporting documents submitted by them in appeal to the regional committee within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal committee decided to remand back the case to W.R.C. for revisiting the matter after the appellant institution submits required documents and taking further action expeditiously". It is submitted that the Appellant Institution vide its letter dated 19.09.2018 submitted necessary documents to the Regional Director WRC. WRC, NCTE issued a Show Cause Notice dated 19.11.2018. Appellant Institution vide its letter dated 14.12.2018 submitted the list of (7+1) lecturer approved by the university. It is submitted that the Appellant Institution vide its letter dated 08.05.2019 requested WRC to issue the restoration order. Appellant Institution vide its letter dated 11.07.2019 again requested the WRC to issue a restoration / continuation letter. Since WRC was not taking up the case of the institution therefore, the institution filed W.P. (C) No. 1008/2020 before the High Court of Delhi. High Court of Delhi vide its Final Order dated 27.01.2020 directed to adjudicate on the Show Cause. NCTE Head Quarter on 07.05.2019 directed the regional committees for following the Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for processing of the applications wherein it has been categorically provided that 2nd show cause notice should be issued before proceeding for the withdrawal of the recognition of the recognized institution whereas in the instant case the NRC has failed to adhere with the SOPs. That it is submitted that the WRC in its 313th meeting without issuing any show cause and decided to withdraw the recognition of the institution without issuing any final show cause notice. Institution has again approached the University for the fresh approvals of the faculties for removing the deficiencies as pointed out by the WRC in the decision of the withdrawal. WRC ought to have given an opportunity or the clarification to the institution as the faculties were approved by the university as per the norms. Appeal Committee of the NCTE had successfully allowed the earlier appeal of the Appellant Institution seeing the same



faculty list and rejected the withdrawal order of the WRC observing that Appellant Institution has successfully established that there is no deficiency. Appellant Institution as per the observation of the Appeal Committee had already submitted the documents before WRC. Appellant Institution in response to the show cause notice had already submitted reply along with the documentary proofs however till date the WRC has not issued any restoration order or updated the name of the Appellant Institution in the list of the recognized institution. It is submitted that now the new academic session is going to start and Appellant Institution will be a irreparable loss if the restoration order is not issued by the WRC. 19. That it is submitted that WRC, NCTE after scrutiny of application submitted by the Institution, the documents attached therewith, the affidavit submitted and the report received from VT and videography and certificate received from the university and after being satisfied that the Appellant Institution fulfills the requirement under NCTE Act and Regulation such as instructional facilities, financial resources, etc. for running the programme granted its recognition. It is relevant to state that now the WRC cannot reviewed its decision without any specific deficiencies verified by the inspection team of the WRC, NCTE under the provisions of NCTE Act, 1993. 20. That it is submitted that WRC decision is not as per the statutory provisions and does not survive in light of the judgment of this Hon'ble Court in Shyama Prasad Mukherjee Vs. National Council for Teacher Education / (2010) 171 DLT 459 wherein this Hon'ble Court had clearly interpreted Section 17 to the following effect: "16. The language of Section 17 permits de-recognition on grounds which have accrued post recognition.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned order of withdrawal dated 04/03/2020 is on the grounds that (i) Principal and two faculty do not possess required qualifications and (ii) staff for performing Arts, Fine Arts and Health – Physical Education are not appointed.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme to the appellant institution continues to be withdrawn since the issue of first withdrawal order dated 02/11/2017. The appellant in the case of its first appeal against


the withdrawal order dated 02/11/2017 was given an opportunity by Appellate Authority to submit required documents to WRC on the basis of which case could be revisited.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that as per oral statement of appellant made on 29/07/2020, the appellant institution is not conducting B.Ed. course for the last two years which means that first withdrawal order dated 02/11/2017 has been effective and appellant had failed to submit to WRC a consolidated list containing the names of required faculty approved by affiliating body. List of faculty submitted by appellant to WRC by its letter dated 23/03/2019 pertain to 2015 and 2018. It is not a consolidated list from the lists submitted by appellant it is difficult to ascertain whether the faculty selected in 2018 are additional faculty are in replacement of earlier faculty selected in 2015. Appellant has failed to prove (through Bank Account Salary statement) before Appellate Authority that it still retains the required faculty to restart conducting B.Ed. course. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 04/03/2020.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 04/03/2020.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

  
(T. Pritam Singh)  
H.O.D.

1. The President, Shree Swaminarayan B.Ed. College, 414 Paiki, Shree Swaminarayan Gurukul, Gadhada Road, Gotad, Bhavnagar – 364710, Gujarat.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Gujarat, Gandhinagar.





F.No.89-100/E-158022/2020 Appeal/15<sup>th</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> July, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/09/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Srijoni College of Education, Dakshin Chandipur, Dubrajpur, Birbhum, West Bengal dated 18/03/2020 is against the Order No. ER-279.63/(ERCAPP65/2012)/B.Ed./2020/62541 dated 03.03.2020 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "original FDRs Rs. 5 lakh and Rs. 3 lakh have expired. Institution has not submitted original renewed FDRs of Rs. 5 lakh and Rs. 7 lakh as per NCTE Regulation, 2014. Latest copy of Fire Safety Certificate duly signed by the Govt. competent authority has not been submitted. Requisite information of the institutional website has not updated as per clause 7(14)(i) of the NCTE Regulation, 2014."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Amol Kumar Das, Representative, Srijoni College of Education, Dakshin Chandipur, Dubrajpur, Birbhum, West Bengal presented online the case of the appellant institution on 29/07/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that NCTE Order Number F.No.ER279.63/(ERCAPP65/2012)/B.Ed./2020/62541 dated 03.03.2020 where our Recognition is withdrawn, it is mentioned that they issued the first Show Cause Notice u/s 17(1) on 25.01.2019 but no such Show Cause Notice was issued to us on 25.01.2019. We received the first Show Cause Notice No. ERC/7-271.14.88/B.Ed./ERCAPP65/2012/2019/60512 dated 21.05.2019 from ERC-NCTE in its 271<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 24<sup>th</sup> - 25<sup>th</sup> April, 2019 which I replied by letter number SCE/38/19 dated 14.05.2019. Second and final Show Cause Notice No ERC/275.14.58/(ERCAPP65/2012)/B.Ed./2019/61573 dated 11.09.2019 from ERC-NCTE in its 275<sup>th</sup> (Part-2) meeting held on 27 July, 2019 was on two grounds: (1) Faculty in Bengali at sl. No. 7. (2) The validity of FDRs. The answer of both on the above grounds were given in my letter No SCE/86/19 dated 28.08.2019 which I answered immediately



after it was published in the official website of ERC-NCTE. Show Cause Notice on the grounds on which our recognition was withdrawn though all the papers related to it are already submitted. I had immediately queried about the same to the Regional Director, ERC –NCTE vide letter number 27/SCE/2020 dated 25.02.2020 after we had seen it in the official website of ERC-NCTE in its 279th meeting dated 14th- 16th February, 2020. Our Recognition was withdrawn on the following three grounds and my explanation along with it: 1. Renewal and Submission of FDR of Rs. 5 lakh and 3 lakh to Rs. 5 lakh and 7 lakh: One of the reason stated by ERC NCTE regarding the renewal and submission of FDR as Endowment Fund and Reserve fund of 5 Lakh and 3 Lakh respectively which is already been renewed and submitted and as we are an existing college and according to the FAQ uploaded by NCTE we have to maintain FDR of Rupees 5 Lakh and 3 lakh only which we have maintained from time to time (at present our Endowment Fund amounts to rupees 739068 (Seven lakh thirty nine thousand sixty eight only) and our Reserve Fund amounts to rupees 443439 (Four lakh forty three thousand four hundred thirty nine only). I am attaching along with this letter a copy of the renewed FDR as Endowment Fund and Reserve fund of rupees 5 Lakh and 3 Lakh respectively along with a copy of the said FAQ as uploaded by NCTE. 2. Submission of the latest copy of Fire Safety Certificate: I have already submitted a copy of Fire Safety Certificate duly signed by the Government competent Authority. Institutional website update: We always keep our institutional website updated with all requisite information. I am attaching a print copy of our updated website along with this letter. Now, I earnestly appeal before you to kindly restore the Recognition for running B.Ed. course in our institution from the academic session 2020-21."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution has submitted (i) letters from Allahabad Bank dated 19/03/2020 renewing the validity of FDRs and (ii) Fire Safety Certificate issued by Government of West Bengal. (iii) Printout of website. Appeal Committee is convinced with the submission made by appellant in respect of the value of FDRs required to be maintained by institutions existing prior to NCTE Regulation, 2014. Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit, within 15 days of the issue of appeal order, to ERC, (i) Renewed FDRs, (ii) Fire



Safety Certificate, (iii) Printout of website. Appeal Committee, further decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and online submission made by appellant during appeal hearing on 29/07/2020, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Srijoni College of Education, Dakshin Chandipur, Dubrajpur, Birbhum, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(T. Pritam Singh)  
H.O.D.

1. The Secretary, Srijoni College of Education, Dakshin Chandipur, 378, 379, Kota, SH-14, Dubrajpur, Birbhum – 731124, West Bengal.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

5

F.No.89-101/E-158224/2020 Appeal/15<sup>th</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> July, 2020**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/09/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of R.V. Training College, Valakom P.O. – Kollam District, Kerala dated 21/03/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO0486/B.Ed./{KL}/383/2020-114357 dated 22.01.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the Institution has submitted a photocopy of private lease deed in the name of M/s.R. Balakrishna Pillai Charitable Trust, S.S.Kovil Road, Thampanoor, Thycaud Village. Thiruvananthapuram for 99 years executed on 14.12.2004. Institution has also submitted a possession certificate dated 20.11.2019 issued by Tehsildar in the name of R. Balakrishna Pillai. LUC not submitted by the institution. Premium paid receipt submitted by the institution is in regional language. The institution has submitted NEC in regional language. The institution is required to submit translated notarized true copy of NEC duly approved by the competent authority. The institution submitted an attested copy of building plan approved by Assistant Engineer, K.I.P .LB. Section No.47/17,Kottarakara. Sy. No:Not Mentioned Total Land Area:1098 sq.mts.(not as per NCTE norms) Built-up Area:Not Mentioned. The institution has submitted copy of site plan in Regional language. The institution has submitted two Building Completion Certificates (i) Building Completion Certificate at p/324-325/C is in the name of R.V. Training College, Valakom (B.Ed. college) which is approved by the Assistant Engineer, Office of the Assistant Engineer, Water Resources Department .K.I.P. LB Section 47/17,Kottarakara. (ii) The institution has also submitted another BCC approved by Assistant Engineer .K.I.P. LB. Section 47/17. But it is in the name of "Rama Vilasom Teacher Training Institute""TTI. The Institution submitted a staff list for the session 2015-2016, 2016-2017 & 2017-2018. (i) The staff list is not in the prescribed NCTE Format. (ii) Staff list is not approved by the affiliating body. (iii) Institution has not submitted the latest staff list (iv) Staff list is submitted for eight faculty members, which is not enough



for 100 students (two basic units) (v) Faculty in r/o Fine Arts & Performing Arts not appointed.- (vi) Percentage of marks not given in the staff list.”

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had filed a W.P. (C) No. 11391 of 2020 in the High Court of Kerala at Ernakulam and the Hon'ble High Court by its order dated 15/06/2020 has directed respondent no. 1 (NCTE) to consider and dispose of Appeal in accordance with law within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a copy of judgement after according the petitioner an opportunity of being heard through online.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. K. Mohan Das, Member, R.V. Training College, Valakom P.O. – Kollam District, Kerala presented online the case of the appellant institution on 29/07/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that “Ramavilasom Training College Valakom, is in the ownership of R Balakrishna Pillai Charitable Trust S.S. Kovil Road, Thampannorthycaudvillage Thiruvananthapuram, and Sri. R. Balakrishna Pillai (Former Minister and Chairman of Kerala State Welfare Corporation for Forward Communities with Cabinet Rank) is the chairman of the trust. The 2 hectores38 Acres property (Sy. No: 161/1, 155/2, 149/6, 184/4, 191/1) which is used for the Training college is under the personal ownership of Sri. R Balakrishna Pillai. The above said property is leased to R Balakrishna Pillai Charitable Trust for 99 Years. (Dated 6th October 1995 Originals of the lease deed is uploaded. This is the reason why the Encumbrance certificate produced before NCTE, is on behalf of Sri. R Balakrishna Pillai the actual owner of the property. Affidavit of authorisation is also attached (Annexure IIA). Land Use Certificate (LUC) in English version issued by the Tahsildar dated: 19-03-2020. The premium paid receipt, issued by the village officer in regional language in original is submitted. The same receipt is translated to English and notarised. The Non Encumbrance Certificate issued in regional language by the sub registrar office, Chadayamangalam, the same certificate is translated to English and notarised and submitted. Attested copy of the building plan approved by the Asst. Engineer KIP.L.B Section No. 47/17 Kottarakkara is submitted. Survey numbers of the land used are 161/1, 191/1, 184/4, 185/2, 149/6, and total area of the same is 2



hectors 38 Acres Total built up area, is 1644 m<sup>2</sup>, and it is also mentioned in the building plan. Site plan is translated to English, and notarised. R.V. Training College and Ramavilasom Training Institute are under the same management as composite institutions. But the infrastructure of Ramavilasom Training Institute is not utilised by Training College for any of its activities. The separate building plan for R.V Training College attested by Asst. Engineer KIP.L.B Section No. 47/17 is attached. The staff list for session 2015-16, 2016- 17, 2017-18 are submitted. The session 2015-16, 2016-17 & 2017-18 were recruited by the management by following all the rules and qualifications proposed by NCTE but not endorsed by University of Kerala. Buts staff list for the academic session 2019-2020 is submitted to the University of Kerala for Endorsement. Unfortunately the COVID-19 epidemic hinder the process of endorsement. As per the order issued by NCTE (F.SRO/NCTE/ APSO 0486/ B Ed/(KL)/ 2015-16/ 64810 dated 15-05-2015), two basic units were allotted to RV Training College, Valakom. As per the order no. (GO (MS) No: 22/2015/Higher Education dated 28-01-2015) Govt. of Kerala has decided to conduct one basic units in all training college in Kerala. On behalf of this, the management has submitted a request for granting permission to conduct one basic unit in R.V training college on 22-05-2015 and the reminders on 29-07-2015 and 27-08-2015. At present only one basic unit is admitted in R.V training college. Hence, the staff strength is fixed to 8. Faculties of fine arts and performing arts were appointed, but not included in the staff list submitted to NCTE, as they are part-time teachers. Details of these teachers are now submitting. The percentage of marks secured by all staff members are mentioned in the staff list."

**AND WHEREAS** on perusal of the Regulatory file of appellant institution, Appeal Committee noted that before granting recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats by an order dated 25/02/2005 Southern Regional Committee (SRC) had evaluated the land documents furnished by applicant. Applicant, in reply to a letter dated 09/08/2004 issued by SRC, had submitted by its letter dated 25/10/2004 Lease Deed documents signed on 19/08/2004. By this lease deed Sh. R. Balakrishnan Pillai (Lessor) had leased out land measuring 5 Acre 82 Cents on survey no. 190/2, 189/1-14, 191/2, 304/8, 304/8-8, 597/1-24, 190/1, 190/2, 300/6, 299/4. The Lease Deed



was made in favour of Managing Trustee, M/s. R. Balakrishnan Pillai Charitable Trust (Lessee). The initial period of Lease was mentioned to be 30 years.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that as per Clause 3 (c) of Recognition order dated 25/02/2005 applicant institution was required to shift to its own premises within 3 years (in case the course is started in temporary premises).

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that a Revised recognition order dated 15/05/2015 under NCTE Regulation, 2014 was issued for an intake of 100 seats. The applicant for seeking revised recognition order had submitted affidavit seeking 100 seats but in the forwarding letter the intake is mentioned as 50 students w.e.f. 2015-16.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that before issue of impugned order of withdrawal dated 22/01/2020 two Show Cause Notices (SCN) dated 26/03/2019 and 31/10/2019 were issued seeking from the applicant (a) Land document, (b) C.L.U., (c) N.E.C., (d) approved building plan, (e) Site Plan, (f) Building Completion Certificate (B.C.C.), (g) List of faculty approved by affiliating body, (h) FDRs, (i) Printout of website and (j) details of other courses run by institute.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that applicant institution submitted its reply dated 06/05/2019 to the S.C.N. dated 26/03/2019 and mentioned the intake as 50 students. Appellant further had submitted with its reply copy of Lease Deed dated 14/12/2004 and Staff list for the year 2015-16, 2016-17 and 2017-18. Appellant institution submitted a supplementary letter dated 25/11/2019 to SRC enclosing therewith (a) Possession letter dated 20/11/2019 of land at survey no. 161/1, 191/1, 184/4, 155/2, 149/6 (b) Land Use Certificate, (c) NEC, (d) Building Plan, (e) Site Plan, (f) B.C.C., (g) copy of FDRs, (h) Profile of staff member.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institute is recognised to conduct B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats (2 units) and neither S.R.C. had issued any letter reducing the intake to 50 seats nor did the appellant institution

categorically reminded SRC to reduce the intake. The Lease Deed documents submitted by appellant institution pertain to address which is different from the address where recognition was originally granted. Appellant institution has not submitted any proposal to shift its premises. SRC also has not allowed the appellant institution to shift its premises to a new place. The built-up area mentioned in the B.C.C. submitted by appellant on 25/11/2019 is 1098 sq. meters and it is for D.El.Ed. which has no relevance in case of B.Ed. course. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution with its appeal memoranda dated 23/03/2020 had submitted copy of a Building Completion Certificate (B.C.C.) which has several entries overwritten and fabricated under Columns 14, 15, 16 & 17. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution do not possess adequate built up area as per Norms and Standards for the B.Ed. programme (Annexure -4) and has not complied with Clause 8 (11) of NCTE Regulation, 2014 which reads as under

*"Whenever there are changes in the Norms and Standards for a programme in teacher education, the institution shall comply with the requirements laid down in the revised Norms and Standards immediately. However, the revised land area related norms shall not be applicable to the existing institutions, but the required built up area shall have to be increased by existing institutions to conform to the revised norms"*

Appeal Committee noted that list of faculty submitted by appellant institution is neither approved by affiliating university nor does it contain adequate number of faculty for conducting B.Ed. course with an intake of 2 units. Built up area (B.C.C) submitted by appellant contains entries which are erased/overwritten and obviously seem to be fabricated. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 22/01/2020 issued by SRC.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during online presentation of Appeal, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 22/01/2020 issued by SRC.



**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

  
**(T. Pritam Singh)**  
H.O.D.

- 1. The Manager, R.V. Training College, Valakom P.O. – Kollam District – 691532, Kerala.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

F.No.89-103/2020 Appeal/15<sup>th</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> July, 2020**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/09/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Government Hindi Teachers Training College, Lamphel, Manipur, Imphal dated 14/11/2019 is against the Order No. ER-274.14.92/(APE00306)/B.Ed. (Hindi Siksha Parangat (HSP)/2019/61613 dated 16.09.2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “approved faculty list by the concerned affiliating body along with requisite documents. Approved building plan by the concerned competent Govt. Engineer/Authority. Approved building completion certificate by the concerned competent Govt. Engineer/Authority. FDRs towards Endowment fund and Reserve fund after conversion into joint operation mode as prescribed in the NCTE Regulations, 2014. Confirmation on website updates of the institutions with all details along with affidavit. No reply received and the stipulated time period has already been over. Recognition granted to B.Ed. course is withdrawn under section 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2020-2021.”

**AND WHEREAS** Ms. Hazari Mayum, Principal, Government Hindi Teachers Training College, Lamphel, Manipur, Imphal presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/07/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “we are submitting (i) Approved Faculty list, (ii) Approved Building Plan, (iii) Approved Building Completion Certificate, (iv) FDRs, (v) Printout of website.”

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is conducting B.Ed. (Hindi Shiksha Parangit) course since academic session 1997-98 and the present intake of the course is 50 seats (one unit) from academic session 2016-17 under the NCTE Regulation, 2014.




**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is a constituent unit of Manipur University. Appeal Committee further noted that Directorate of University and Higher Education, Government of Manipur by its letter dated 07/07/2020 has submitted before Appellate Authority (i) List containing the names of Principal (I/c) and eight faculty, (ii) Building Plan, (iii) Building Completion Certificate, (iv) Printout of website pages. As regards FDRs it is stated that being a Government institution maintenance of FDRs is not required. Appellant institution is however, directed to respond to the communications addressed to it by ERC from time to time.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution / university is required to submit within 15 days of the issue of appeal order to ERC all the required documents particularly the building completion certificate clearly indicating the built up area earmarked for the applicable course. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and online submissions made by appellant university, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Government Hindi Teachers Training College, Lamphel, Manipur, Imphal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

  
(T. Pritam Singh)  
H.O.D.

1. The Under Secretary, Government Hindi Teachers Training College, 1915, D.M. College Campus, Lamphel, Manipur, Imphal – 795001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Manipur, Imphal.